

UNITED STATES JUDICIAL PANEL  
on  
MULTIDISTRICT LITIGATION

IN RE: NATIONAL PRESCRIPTION OPIATE  
LITIGATION

MDL No. 2804

(SEE ATTACHED SCHEDULE)


**CONDITIONAL TRANSFER ORDER (CTO -99)**

On December 5, 2017, the Panel transferred 62 civil action(s) to the United States District Court for the Northern District of Ohio for coordinated or consolidated pretrial proceedings pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1407. *See* 290 F.Supp.3d 1375 (J.P.M.L. 2017). Since that time, 1,504 additional action(s) have been transferred to the Northern District of Ohio. With the consent of that court, all such actions have been assigned to the Honorable Dan A. Polster.

It appears that the action(s) on this conditional transfer order involve questions of fact that are common to the actions previously transferred to the Northern District of Ohio and assigned to Judge Polster.

Pursuant to Rule 7.1 of the Rules of Procedure of the United States Judicial Panel on Multidistrict Litigation, the action(s) on the attached schedule are transferred under 28 U.S.C. § 1407 to the Northern District of Ohio for the reasons stated in the order of December 5, 2017, and, with the consent of that court, assigned to the Honorable Dan A. Polster.

This order does not become effective until it is filed in the Office of the Clerk of the United States District Court for the Northern District of Ohio. The transmittal of this order to said Clerk shall be stayed 7 days from the entry thereof. If any party files a notice of opposition with the Clerk of the Panel within this 7-day period, the stay will be continued until further order of the Panel.



FOR THE PANEL:



John W. Nichols  
Clerk of the Panel

**IN RE: NATIONAL PRESCRIPTION OPIATE  
LITIGATION**

MDL No. 2804

**SCHEDULE CTO-99 – TAG-ALONG ACTIONS**

<b><u>DIST</u></b>	<b><u>DIV.</u></b>	<b><u>C.A.NO.</u></b>	<b><u>CASE CAPTION</u></b>
<b>FLORIDA MIDDLE</b>			
FLM	3	19-00716	Union County, Florida v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al
FLM	6	19-01098	City of Sanford, Florida v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al
FLM	6	19-01103	City of Daytona Beach, Florida v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al
<b>FLORIDA NORTHERN</b>			
FLN	1	19-00107	DIXIE COUNTY FLORIDA v. PURDUE PHARMA LP et al
FLN	1	19-00109	GILCHRIST COUNTY, FLORIDA v. PURDUE PHARMA LP et al
FLN	4	19-00270	TAYLOR COUNTY FLORIDA v. PURDUE PHARMA LP et al
<b>FLORIDA SOUTHERN</b>			
FLS	1	19-22476	City of Florida City, Florida v. Purdue Pharma, L.P. et al
FLS	2	19-14203	City of Fort Pierce, Florida v. Purdue Pharma L.P. et al
FLS	2	19-14207	City of Port St. Lucie, Florida v. Purdue Pharma L.P. et al
<b>KANSAS</b>			
KS	2	19-02300	United Keetoowah Band of Cherokee Indians v. AmerisourceBergen Drug Corporation et al
KS	2	19-02309	Wyandotte Nation v. AmerisourceBergen Drug Corporation et al
KS	2	19-02316	Board of Commissioners of Leavenworth County, Kansas v. AmerisourceBergen Drug Corporation et al
<b>LOUISIANA MIDDLE</b>			
LAM	3	19-00385	Carpenter Hospice of Northwest Louisiana, LLC et al v. Purdue Pharma, L.P. et al

LOUISIANA WESTERN

LAW 5 19-00750 C M G H Minden L L C v. Purdue Pharma L P et al

MARYLAND

MD	8	19-01735	County Commissioners of Calvert County, Maryland v. Purdue Pharma L.P. et al
MD	8	19-01736	Dorchester County, Maryland v. Purdue Pharma L.P. et al
MD	8	19-01737	City of Cambridge, Maryland v. Purdue Pharma L.P. et al

MINNESOTA

MN	0	19-01573	Carlton County v. Purdue Pharma L.P. et al
----	---	----------	--

NEW JERSEY

NJ	2	19-13747	SUSSEX COUNTY, NEW JERSEY v. PURDUE PHARMA L.P. et al
----	---	----------	---

NORTH CAROLINA MIDDLE

NCM	1	19-00594	ALAMANCE COUNTY v. AMERISOURCEBERGEN DRUG CORPORATION et al
-----	---	----------	---

SOUTH CAROLINA

SC	0	19-01704	Chester South Carolina, City of v. Purdue Pharma LP et al
SC	2	19-01695	Georgetown County, South Carolina v. Purdue Pharma LP et al
SC	2	19-01696	Georgetown City, South Carolina v. Purdue Pharma LP et al
SC	5	19-01692	Orangeburg South Carolina, City of v. Purdue Pharma LP et al